

WRITTEN REPORT BY CONTRACTING AUTHORITY

(pursuant to Sec. 85 of Act No. 137/2006 Coll. on Public Contracts)

Public Contract Name: OPTICAL AND X-RAY STREAK
CAMERAS FOR EXPERIMENTAL AREAS

Part of the Public Contract: Part no. 2 – Infra-red streak camera
system (IRSCS)

The Contracting Authority of the above mentioned above-the-threshold public contract for supplies of issued in the open procedure pursuant to Act No. 137/2006 Coll. on Public Contracts (hereinafter the "Act") drew up this written report.

A. Identification of the Contracting Authority, Subject matter of the public contract, Remuneration agreed in Contract

Contracting Authority:	Fyzikální ústav AV ČR, v.v.i.
Registered office:	Na Slovance 2, 182 21 Prague 8
Company identification No.:	68378271
Person authorised to act on behalf of the Contracting Authority:	prof. Jan Řídký, DrSc., Director

The Contracting Authority, whose principal activity is to conduct research and development in the physical sciences, is the recipient of grants for projects "ELI: Extreme Light Infrastructure" under the Operational Program Research and Development for Innovation (hereinafter the "Project"). High speed (resolution better than a pico second) optical and X-ray streak cameras are desired to monitor plasma dynamics in various kinds of experiments – for e.g., Warm dense matter, spectral identification of hot electrons and spectroscopic characterization of plasma density and temperature.

The Subject Matter of the public tender is the supply of such optical and X-ray streak cameras with high time and space resolution.

Acquisition of these streak cameras are required for performing the commissioning experiments and also by external users when the facility is opened for external users.

The Subject Matter of the Public Contract for supplies includes a selection of a supplier that shall – based on a contract supply performance as specified in **Annex 5 (Technical specification)** and **Annex 6 (Binding text of the Contract for work)** hereto.

The bidder shall be obliged to prepare the bid in accordance with all requirements of the Contracting Authority and in accordance with the Tender Documentation and all its Annexes.

The public contract is divided into individual parts pursuant to Sec. 98 (1) of the Act:

Part no. 1 – Visible streak camera system (VSCS)
Part no. 2 – Infra-red streak camera system (IRSCS)
Part no. 3 – X-ray streak camera system (XSCS)

The remuneration agreed in Contract: 109.000,- EUR excl. VAT

B. Selected type of an Awarding Procedure

Open procedure pursuant to Sec. 27 of the Act.

C. Selected Bidder Identification, Most suitable bid selection justification, Public Contract parts to be performed via subcontractor

Bidders Name	Registered Office	ID Number
OptiXs, s.r.o.	Křivoklátská 37/3, Letňany, 199 00 Praha 9	020 16 770

Evaluation Commission did not perform the Evaluation phase pursuant to the Sec. 79 (6) of the Act since there was only one bid to evaluate.

On its first meeting the Evaluation Commission decided to recommend the Contracting Authority to assign this part the public contract to the Bidder called „**OptiXs, s.r.o.**” with respect to the fact that the bid was complete and in accordance with the Act and awarding procedure conditions.

Subcontractor: Hamamatsu Photonics Deutschland GmbH
(producer of the offered performance)

D. Identification of each bidder along with their bid prices

Number of Bid Based on Time of Delivery	Bidders Name	Registered Office	ID Number	Bid Price (EUR excl. VAT)
1.	Axis Photonique Inc.	1650 boul. Lionel-Boulet, Varennes, Québec, Canada J3X 1S2	1146398186	158.500,-
2.	OptiXs, s.r.o.	Křivoklátská 37/3, Letňany, 199 00 Praha 9	020 16 770	109.000,-

E. Identification of excluded Applicants and justification for their exclusion

F. Bidder's Name	Registered Office	ID Number
Axis Photonique Inc.	1650 boul. Lionel-Boulet, Varennes, Québec, Canada J3X 1S2	1146398186

The Bidder failed to meet the Basic Qualifications Requirements. Bidder did not provide within his Bid these documents required for Basic Qualification Criteria meeting:

- Certificate from the competent Czech tax authority stating the Bidder has no tax debts pursuant to art. 3.3.1 (f) of the Tender Documentation
- Certificate from the competent tax authority of registered office country stating the Bidder has no tax debts pursuant to art. 3.3.1 (f) of the Tender Documentation, because the Bidder submitted only translation of the original document and the Certificate of Translation but not the original document itself
- Certificate from the competent authority or institution of registered office country stating the bidder is not in arrears with social security contributions and with any penalties for non-payment of such contributions or with contributions payable under the national employment policy pursuant to art. 3.3.1 (h) of the Tender Documentation.

The Bidder failed to meet the Professional Qualifications Requirements. Bidder did not provide within his Bid these documents required for Professional Qualification Criteria meeting:

Bidder did not provide within his Bid Commercial Register extract pursuant to art. 3.4 (a) of the Tender Documentation, because the Bidder submitted only translation of the original document and the Certificate of Translation but not the original document itself

The Bidder failed to meet the Technical Qualifications Requirements. Bidder did not provide within his Bid these documents required for Technical Qualification Criteria meeting:

- On p. 36 of the Bid the Bidder submitted a document in order to prove the important delivery performance according to art. 3.5 (a) of the Tender Documentation. However the subject of the delivery is an "AXIS-PX ultrafast x-ray streak camera" and not an IRSCS camera with parameters described in the tender documentation as was required in this part of the public contract.

Submitted delivery does not fulfil the requirements for important delivery for Part no. 2 of the Public Contract according to art. 3.5 (a) of the Tender Documentation.

Based on the above mentioned, Evaluation Commission decided to reject the Bid pursuant to Sec. 60 of the Act. The Contracting Authority has accepted the recommendation and excluded the Bidder from participation in this part of the tender procedure.

G. Applicant exclusion due to Abnormally Low Tender Price

No applicant was excluded due to abnormally low tender price.

H. Justification of Competitive Dialogue, Negotiated Procedure with Publication, Negotiated Procedure without Publication application, if applied

None of these tender procedure types were used.

I. Justification of Awarding Procedure cancellation, if cancelled

This part of the tender procedure was not cancelled.

In Prague, on 21.10.2015


.....
prof. Jan Řídký, DrSc., Director